

2015 State Report for County-level Data: Prevalence

Disability Statistics & Demographics Rehabilitation Research & Training Center

Acknowledgement

Special thanks to the following individuals who have contributed to the success of this effort: Deb Brucker, Erin Dame, Adam Lavoie, Rachel Coleman, Kate Filanoski, and Karen Volle.

Funding for this publication is made possible by:

The Rehabilitation Research and Training Center on Disability Statistics and Demographics (StatsRRTC), funded by the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Administration for Community Living National Institute on Disability, Independent Living, and Rehabilitation Research (NIDILRR), grant number 90RT5022-02-01; and the Rehabilitation Research and Training Center on Employment Policy and Measurement (EPM-RRTC), also funded by NIDILRR, grant number 90RT5037-01-00. The information developed by the StatsRRTC and EPM-RRTC does not necessarily represent the policies of the Department of Health and Human Services, and you should not assume endorsement by the Federal Government (Edgar, 75.620 (b)).

The StatsRRTC and EPM-RRTC are part of the Institute on Disability at the University of New Hampshire. The Institute on Disability/UCED (IOD) was established in 1987 to provide a university-based focus for the improvement of knowledge, policies, and practices related to the lives of people with disabilities and their families and is New Hampshire's University Center for Excellence in Disability (UCED). Located within the University of New Hampshire, the IOD is a federally designated center authorized by the Developmental Disabilities Act. Through innovative and interdisciplinary research, academic, service, and dissemination initiatives, the IOD builds local, state, and national capacities to respond to the needs of individuals with disabilities and their families.

Institute on Disability / UCED

10 West Edge Drive, Suite 101 Durham, NH 03284 603.862.4320 | relay: 711 | co

603.862.4320 | relay: 711 | contact.iod@unh.edu

www.iod.unh.edu

2015 North Dakota Report for Countylevel Data: Prevalence

Rehabilitation Research and Training Center on Disability Statistics and Demographics
A NIDILRR-Funded Center



Introduction

The State Reports for County-Level Data on Prevalence are designed to provide the users of disability statistics with the number of people with disabilities for any given state and county in the United States (U.S.). This report is intended to be an online compliment to Section 1: Population and Prevalence of the Annual Disability Statistics Compendium and Annual Disability Statistics Supplement, providing greater detail within each state. The State Reports for County-Level Data on Prevalence can be used to compare county-level statistics between counties in any given state or states. The following report provides county-level statistics for North Dakota.

The proportions of people with disabilities, sometimes called prevalence, presented in the State Reports for County-Level Data is a useful tool for advocates, researchers, and policy-makers to plan and provide services and supports for people with disabilities. In this report, the prevalence of people with disabilities is presented as the number of people with disabilities in a given state and county per total state and county populations, respectively. Counts and percentages are provided in tables and maps.

The data for this report comes from the American Community Survey 5-year data. The American Community Survey (ACS) is a national survey developed by the U.S. Census Bureau to provide information on a number of topics about social, economic, and demographic characteristics of the U.S. population. ACS 5-year data is collected over a longer period of time than 1-year data, providing larger sample sizes and increased reliability for less populated areas and small population subgroups. All of the statistics in this report use the ACS 5-year data which includes data from the year of the report and data from the four previous years.

In the ACS, people are identified as having a disability based on responses to a series of six questions asking about having difficulties with vision, hearing, ambulation, cognition, self-care, and independent living. These questions are:

- Are you blind or do you have serious difficulty seeing, even when wearing glasses?
- Are you deaf or do you have serious difficulty hearing?
- Do you have serious difficulty walking or climbing stairs?

- Because of a physical, mental, or emotional condition, do you have serious difficulty concentrating, remembering, or making decisions?
- Do you have difficulty dressing or bathing?
- Because of a physical, mental, or emotional condition, do you have difficulty doing errands alone such as visiting a doctor's office or shopping?

A response of 'yes' to any one of these six questions identifies an individual as having a disability in the ACS. Specific to North Dakota, the state chosen for this report, sentences providing interpretation and context for prevalence statistics are included below. A short glossary of terms is also provided at the end of the report explaining the statistics that are illustrated in each sentence.

Interpretation

The following statements are designed to help understand the 2015 county-level statistics from North Dakota that are presented:

- For people with <u>and</u> without disabilities:
 - The range of total people across North Dakota counties, also known as the difference between the largest and smallest counts of people across North Dakota counties, was 160,665.
 - The county with the greatest number of total people was Cass (161,338 people).
 - The county with the least number of total people was **Slope** (673 people).
 - The **average** number of total people across all counties was 13,327.
 - The **median**, also known as the middle-most number, of total people across North Dakota counties was **4,143**.

- For people with disabilities:
 - The **range** of people with disabilities across North Dakota counties, also known as the difference between the largest and smallest counts of people with disabilities across North Dakota counties, was 15,098.
 - The county with the greatest number of people with disabilities was **Cass** (15,164 people).
 - The county with the least number of people with disabilities was **Billings** (66 people).
 - The **average** number of people with disabilities across all counties was 1,403.
 - The median, also known as the middle-most number, of people with disabilities across North Dakota counties was 490

Prevalence of People with and without Disabilities for North Dakota, by County: 2015

County	Total	Disabi	lity	No Disability		
		Count	%	Count	%	
North Dakota	706,307	74,348	10.5	631,959	89.5	
Adams	2,291	293	12.8 12.6 8.9	1,998	87.2 87.4 91.1	
Barnes	10,904	1,369				
Benson	6,779	605		6,174		
Billings	969	66	6.8	903	93.2	
Bottineau	6,465	802	12.4	5,663	87.6	
Bowman	3,156	358	11.3	2,798	88.7	
Burke	2,207	214	9.7	1,993	90.3	
Burleigh	86,343	8,706	10.1	77,637	89.9	
Cass	161,338	15,164	9.4	146,174	90.6	
Cavalier	3,794	439	11.6	3,355	88.4	
Dickey	5,049	490	9.7	4,559	90.3	
Divide	2,257	363	16.1	1,894	83.9	
Dunn	4,143	521	12.6	3,622	87.4	
Eddy	2,296	263	11.5	2,033	88.5	
Emmons	3,408	535	15.7 2,873		84.3	
Foster	3,300	339	10.3	2,961	89.7	
Golden Valley	1,767	275	15.6	1,492	84.4	
Grand Forks	67,070	6,764	10.1	60,306	89.9	
Grant	2,323	291		2,032		
Griggs	2,238	285	12.7	1,953	87.3	
Hettinger	2,459	333	13.5	2,126	86.5	
Kidder	2,430	303	12.5	2,127	87.5	
LaMoure	4,044	490	12.1	3,554	87.9	
Logan	1,870	290	15.5	1,580	84.5	
McHenry	5,738	716	12.5	5,022	87.5	


County	Total	Disabi	lity	No Disability		
		Count	%	Count	%	
McIntosh	2,647	466	17.6	2,181	82.4	
McKenzie	9,549	860	9.0	8,689	91.0	
McLean	9,261	931	10.1	8,330	89.9	
Mercer	8,521	942	11.1	7,579	88.9	
Morton	28,438	3,009	10.6	25,429	89.4	
Mountrail	9,155	740	8.1	8,415	91.9	
Nelson	2,966	369	12.4	2,597	87.6	
Oliver	1,819	207	11.4	1,612	88.6	
Pembina	7,042	947	13.4	6,095	86.6	
Pierce	4,204	447	10.6	3,757	89.4	
Ramsey	11,065	1,545	14.0	9,520	86.0	
Ransom	5,301	763	14.4	4,538	85.6	
Renville	2,481	232	9.4	2,249	90.6	
Richland	16,147	1,541	9.5	14,606	90.5	
Rolette	14,374	2,027	14.1	12,347	85.9	
Sargent	3,837	441	11.5	3,396	88.5	
Sheridan	1,337	195	14.6	1,142	85.4	
Sioux	4,333	436	10.1	3,897	89.9	
Slope	673	91	13.5	582	86.5	
Stark	28,174	2,700	9.6	25,474	90.4	
Steele	1,979	274	13.8	1,705	86.2	
Stutsman	20,163	2,856	14.2	17,307	85.8	
Towner	2,259	211	9.3	2,048	90.7	
Traill	7,897	789	10.0	7,108	90.0	
Walsh	10,827	1,862	17.2	8,965	82.8	
Ward	63,839	6,446	10.1	57,393	89.9	

Source: Calculations based on U.S. Census Bureau, 2016 American Community Survey, Public Use Microdata Sample. Data represents the civilian, noninstitutional population. Based on a sample and subject to sampling variability.


County	Total	Disabi	lity	No Disability		County		Total	Disability		No Disability	
		Count	%	Count	%				Count	%	Count	%
Wells	4,119	602	14.6	3,517	85.4							
Williams	29,262	2,145	7.3	27,117	92.7							

Source: Calculations based on U.S. Census Bureau, 2016 American Community Survey, Public Use Microdata Sample. Data represents the civilian, noninstitutional population. Based on a sample and subject to sampling variability.

Count of People with Disabilities for North Dakota, by County: 2015



Percentage of People with Disabilities for North Dakota, by County: 2015



Discussion

There are a number of concepts and factors which complicate the interpretation of the estimates presented in this report. These concerns affect all statistics from population-based surveys. The estimates included in this document should be interpreted the following limitations in mind and generalized with caution. In each point, a link to the U.S. Census Bureau website describing the limitation or concept in greater detail in the ACS has been provided (www.census.gov/programs-surveys/acs/).

- Statistics are based on a sample and subject to sample variation (a discussion of this topic can be found here).
- Statistics based on a sample may not fully represent the total U.S. population (a discussion of this topic can be found here).
- People responding to the ACS may be different than people not responding (a discussion of this topic can be found here).
- When people do not respond to all ACS questions their responses are created based on assignment or allocation (a discussion of this topic can be found here).

Additional resources for the ACS:

- Information on the disability questions can be found here.
- The ACS design and methodology can be found here.
- The ACS questionnaire and instructions can be found here.

Definitions

Average—The sum of all of the values in a sample divided by the number of values in the sample.

Median—The middlemost value of a sample that separates the upper half of the values from the lower half of the values.

Prevalence—The proportion of the population with a particular status or condition. Prevalence is usually expressed as a percentage or a number of people per unit of the population.

Population—The total number of inhabitants in a defined geographic area including all races, classes, and groups.

Range—The difference between the largest and smallest values in a sample. In a sample, when the smallest value is subtracted from the largest value the resulting value is called the range.

Rehabilitation Research and Training Center on Disability Statistics and Demographics

Policymakers, program administrators, service providers, researchers, advocates for people with disabilities, and people with disabilities and their families need accessible, valid data/statistics to support their decisions related to policy improvements, program administration, service delivery, protection of civil rights, and major life activities. The StatsRRTC supports decision making through a variety of integrated research and outreach activities by (a) improving knowledge about and access to existing data, (b) generating the knowledge needed to improve future disability data collection, and (c) strengthening connections between the data from and regarding respondents, researchers, and decision makers. In this way, the Stats RRTC supports the improvement of service systems that advance the quality of life for people with disabilities.

Led by the University of New Hampshire, the StatsRRTC is a collaborative effort involving the following partners: American Association of People with Disabilities, Center for Essential Management Services, Council of State Administrators of Vocational Rehabilitation, Kessler Foundation, Mathematica Policy Research, and Public Health Institute. The StatsRRTC is funded by the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Community Living, National Institute on Disability, Independent Living and Rehabilitation Research under grant number 90RT502201, from 2013-2018.

Employment Policy and Measurement Rehabilitation Research and Training Center

The EPM-RRTC generates and translates new information about disability employment policy and ways to measure the labor market experiences of people with disabilities. By improving the quality of available information about program interactions, policy options, and employment outcomes, the EPM-RRTC increases evidence-based advocacy and policymaking.

Led by the University of New Hampshire, the EPM-RRTC is a collaborative effort involving the following partners: Association of University Centers on Disability, Hunter College, Kessler Foundation, Mathematica Policy Research, and the University of Chicago. The EPM-RRTC is funded by the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Community Living, National Institute on Disability, Independent Living and Rehabilitation Research under grant number 90RT503701, from 2015-2020.







Institute on Disability / UCED 10 West Edge Drive, Suite 101 Durham, NH 03824 603.862.4320 | relay: 711 contact.iod@unh.edu

iod.unh.edu

© January 2018. Institute on Disability. University of New Hampshire.