



# 2015

## State Report for County-level Data: Prevalence



Disability Statistics & Demographics  
Rehabilitation Research & Training Center

## Acknowledgement

Special thanks to the following individuals who have contributed to the success of this effort: Deb Brucker, Erin Dame, Adam Lavoie, Rachel Coleman, Kate Filanoski, and Karen Volle.

Funding for this publication is made possible by:

The Rehabilitation Research and Training Center on Disability Statistics and Demographics (StatsRRTC), funded by the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Administration for Community Living National Institute on Disability, Independent Living, and Rehabilitation Research (NIDILRR), grant number 90RT5022-02-01; and the Rehabilitation Research and Training Center on Employment Policy and Measurement (EPM-RRTC), also funded by NIDILRR, grant number 90RT5037-01-00. The information developed by the StatsRRTC and EPM-RRTC does not necessarily represent the policies of the Department of Health and Human Services, and you should not assume endorsement by the Federal Government (Edgar, 75.620 (b)).

The StatsRRTC and EPM-RRTC are part of the Institute on Disability at the University of New Hampshire. The Institute on Disability/UCED (IOD) was established in 1987 to provide a university-based focus for the improvement of knowledge, policies, and practices related to the lives of people with disabilities and their families and is New Hampshire's University Center for Excellence in Disability (UCED). Located within the University of New Hampshire, the IOD is a federally designated center authorized by the Developmental Disabilities Act. Through innovative and interdisciplinary research, academic, service, and dissemination initiatives, the IOD builds local, state, and national capacities to respond to the needs of individuals with disabilities and their families.

### **Institute on Disability / UCED**

10 West Edge Drive, Suite 101

Durham, NH 03284

603.862.4320 | relay: 711 | [contact.iod@unh.edu](mailto:contact.iod@unh.edu)

[www.iod.unh.edu](http://www.iod.unh.edu)



# 2015 Pennsylvania Report for County-level Data: Prevalence

Rehabilitation Research and Training Center on  
Disability Statistics and Demographics  
A NIDILRR-Funded Center



## Introduction

The *State Reports for County-Level Data on Prevalence* are designed to provide the users of disability statistics with the number of people with disabilities for any given state and county in the United States (U.S.). This report is intended to be an online compliment to Section 1: Population and Prevalence of the *Annual Disability Statistics Compendium* and *Annual Disability Statistics Supplement*, providing greater detail within each state. The *State Reports for County-Level Data on Prevalence* can be used to compare county-level statistics between counties in any given state or states. The following report provides county-level statistics for Pennsylvania.

The proportions of people with disabilities, sometimes called prevalence, presented in the *State Reports for County-Level Data* is a useful tool for advocates, researchers, and policy-makers to plan and provide services and supports for people with disabilities. In this report, the prevalence of people with disabilities is presented as the number of people with disabilities in a given state and county per total state and county populations, respectively. Counts and percentages are provided in tables and maps.

The data for this report comes from the [American Community Survey 5-year data](#). The [American Community Survey \(ACS\)](#) is a national survey developed by the U.S. Census Bureau to provide information on a number of topics about social, economic, and demographic characteristics of the U.S. population. ACS 5-year data is collected over a longer period of time than 1-year data, providing larger sample sizes and increased reliability for less populated areas and small population subgroups. All of the statistics in this report use the ACS 5-year data which includes data from the year of the report and data from the four previous years.

In the ACS, people are identified as having a disability based on responses to a series of six questions asking about having difficulties with vision, hearing, ambulation, cognition, self-care, and independent living. These questions are:

- Are you blind or do you have serious difficulty seeing, even when wearing glasses?
- Are you deaf or do you have serious difficulty hearing?
- Do you have serious difficulty walking or climbing stairs?

- Because of a physical, mental, or emotional condition, do you have serious difficulty concentrating, remembering, or making decisions?
- Do you have difficulty dressing or bathing?
- Because of a physical, mental, or emotional condition, do you have difficulty doing errands alone such as visiting a doctor's office or shopping?

A response of 'yes' to any one of these six questions identifies an individual as having a disability in the ACS. Specific to Pennsylvania, the state chosen for this report, sentences providing interpretation and context for prevalence statistics are included below. A short glossary of terms is also provided at the end of the report explaining the statistics that are illustrated in each sentence.

## Interpretation

The following statements are designed to help understand the 2015 county-level statistics from Pennsylvania that are presented:

- For people with and without disabilities:
  - The **range** of total people across Pennsylvania counties, also known as the difference between the largest and smallest counts of people across Pennsylvania counties, was **1,537,096**.
    - The county with the greatest number of total people was **Philadelphia** (1,540,765 people).
    - The county with the least number of total people was **Forest** (3,669 people).
  - The **average** number of total people across all counties was **187,688**.
  - The **median**, also known as the middle-most number, of total people across Pennsylvania counties was **86,342**.

- For people with disabilities:
  - The **range** of people with disabilities across Pennsylvania counties, also known as the difference between the largest and smallest counts of people with disabilities across Pennsylvania counties, was **244,263**.
    - The county with the greatest number of people with disabilities was **Philadelphia** (245,219 people).
    - The county with the least number of people with disabilities was **Cameron** (956 people).
  - The **average** number of people with disabilities across all counties was **25,317**.
  - The **median**, also known as the middle-most number, of people with disabilities across Pennsylvania counties was **13,155**

# Prevalence of People with and without Disabilities for Pennsylvania, by County: 2015

County	Total	Disability		No Disability	
		Count	%	Count	%
Pennsylvania	12,575,088	1,696,250	13.5	10,878,838	86.5
Adams	100,449	13,155	13.1	87,294	86.9
Allegheny	1,217,037	159,272	13.1	1,057,765	86.9
Armstrong	67,441	12,689	18.8	54,752	81.2
Beaver	168,481	25,124	14.9	143,357	85.1
Bedford	48,542	8,465	17.4	40,077	82.6
Berks	409,151	53,598	13.1	355,553	86.9
Blair	124,863	20,393	16.3	104,470	83.7
Bradford	61,608	9,147	14.8	52,461	85.2
Bucks	620,447	64,799	10.4	555,648	89.6
Butler	183,650	21,895	11.9	161,755	88.1
Cambria	134,825	24,439	18.1	110,386	81.9
Cameron	4,824	956	19.8	3,868	80.2
Carbon	63,824	11,026	17.3	52,798	82.7
Centre	154,387	15,356	9.9	139,031	90.1
Chester	504,927	41,212	8.2	463,715	91.8
Clarion	39,031	6,343	16.3	32,688	83.7
Clearfield	75,991	12,827	16.9	63,164	83.1
Clinton	39,007	5,696	14.6	33,311	85.4
Columbia	66,142	8,224	12.4	57,918	87.6
Crawford	85,757	13,963	16.3	71,794	83.7
Cumberland	235,088	26,439	11.2	208,649	88.8
Dauphin	267,726	36,081	13.5	231,645	86.5
Delaware	554,295	65,198	11.8	489,097	88.2
Elk	31,047	4,915	15.8	26,132	84.2
Erie	274,610	40,148	14.6	234,462	85.4


County	Total	Disability		No Disability	
		Count	%	Count	%
Fayette	131,487	23,738	18.1	107,749	81.9
Forest	3,669	1,149	31.3	2,520	68.7
Franklin	150,972	18,577	12.3	132,395	87.7
Fulton	14,650	2,234	15.2	12,416	84.8
Greene	34,534	6,502	18.8	28,032	81.2
Huntingdon	42,051	6,598	15.7	35,453	84.3
Indiana	86,342	11,969	13.9	74,373	86.1
Jefferson	44,211	6,925	15.7	37,286	84.3
Juniata	24,561	3,565	14.5	20,996	85.5
Lackawanna	209,690	31,450	15.0	178,240	85.0
Lancaster	523,210	59,294	11.3	463,916	88.7
Lawrence	88,121	14,016	15.9	74,105	84.1
Lebanon	134,099	16,883	12.6	117,216	87.4
Lehigh	351,921	49,510	14.1	302,411	85.9
Luzerne	312,373	48,429	15.5	263,944	84.5
Lycoming	113,522	16,883	14.9	96,639	85.1
McKean	40,779	6,988	17.1	33,791	82.9
Mercer	111,383	17,833	16.0	93,550	84.0
Mifflin	46,117	7,923	17.2	38,194	82.8
Monroe	166,883	23,394	14.0	143,489	86.0
Montgomery	799,584	76,857	9.6	722,727	90.4
Montour	17,899	2,537	14.2	15,362	85.8
Northampton	296,646	37,487	12.6	259,159	87.4
Northumberland	89,465	14,010	15.7	75,455	84.3
Perry	45,172	5,681	12.6	39,491	87.4
Philadelphia	1,540,765	245,219	15.9	1,295,546	84.1

Source: Calculations based on U.S. Census Bureau, 2016 American Community Survey, Public Use Microdata Sample. Data represents the civilian, noninstitutional population. Based on a sample and subject to sampling variability.






# Count of People with Disabilities for Pennsylvania, by County: 2015



## Percentage of People with Disabilities for Pennsylvania, by County: 2015



## Discussion

There are a number of concepts and factors which complicate the interpretation of the estimates presented in this report. These concerns affect all statistics from population-based surveys. The estimates included in this document should be interpreted the following limitations in mind and generalized with caution. In each point, a link to the U.S. Census Bureau website describing the limitation or concept in greater detail in the ACS has been provided ([www.census.gov/programs-surveys/acs/](http://www.census.gov/programs-surveys/acs/)).

- Statistics are based on a sample and subject to sample variation (a discussion of this topic can be found [here](#)).
- Statistics based on a sample may not fully represent the total U.S. population (a discussion of this topic can be found [here](#)).
- People responding to the ACS may be different than people not responding (a discussion of this topic can be found [here](#)).
- When people do not respond to all ACS questions their responses are created based on assignment or allocation (a discussion of this topic can be found [here](#)).

Additional resources for the ACS:

- Information on the disability questions can be found [here](#).
- The ACS design and methodology can be found [here](#).
- The ACS questionnaire and instructions can be found [here](#).

## Definitions

**Average**—The sum of all of the values in a sample divided by the number of values in the sample.

**Median**—The middlemost value of a sample that separates the upper half of the values from the lower half of the values.

**Prevalence**—The proportion of the population with a particular status or condition. Prevalence is usually expressed as a percentage or a number of people per unit of the population.

**Population**—The total number of inhabitants in a defined geographic area including all races, classes, and groups.

**Range**—The difference between the largest and smallest values in a sample. In a sample, when the smallest value is subtracted from the largest value the resulting value is called the range.

## **Rehabilitation Research and Training Center on Disability Statistics and Demographics**

Policymakers, program administrators, service providers, researchers, advocates for people with disabilities, and people with disabilities and their families need accessible, valid data/statistics to support their decisions related to policy improvements, program administration, service delivery, protection of civil rights, and major life activities. The StatsRRTC supports decision making through a variety of integrated research and outreach activities by (a) improving knowledge about and access to existing data, (b) generating the knowledge needed to improve future disability data collection, and (c) strengthening connections between the data from and regarding respondents, researchers, and decision makers. In this way, the Stats RRTC supports the improvement of service systems that advance the quality of life for people with disabilities.

Led by the University of New Hampshire, the StatsRRTC is a collaborative effort involving the following partners: American Association of People with Disabilities, Center for Essential Management Services, Council of State Administrators of Vocational Rehabilitation, Kessler Foundation, Mathematica Policy Research, and Public Health Institute. The StatsRRTC is funded by the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Community Living, National Institute on Disability, Independent Living and Rehabilitation Research under grant number 90RT502201, from 2013–2018.

## **Employment Policy and Measurement Rehabilitation Research and Training Center**

The EPM-RRTC generates and translates new information about disability employment policy and ways to measure the labor market experiences of people with disabilities. By improving the quality of available information about program interactions, policy options, and employment outcomes, the EPM-RRTC increases evidence-based advocacy and policymaking.

Led by the University of New Hampshire, the EPM-RRTC is a collaborative effort involving the following partners: Association of University Centers on Disability, Hunter College, Kessler Foundation, Mathematica Policy Research, and the University of Chicago. The EPM-RRTC is funded by the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Community Living, National Institute on Disability, Independent Living and Rehabilitation Research under grant number 90RT503701, from 2015–2020.



Institute on Disability / UCED  
10 West Edge Drive, Suite 101  
Durham, NH 03824  
603.862.4320 | relay: 711  
[contact.iod@unh.edu](mailto:contact.iod@unh.edu)

**[iod.unh.edu](http://iod.unh.edu)**

© January 2018. Institute on Disability.  
University of New Hampshire.