

# Rehabilitation Research and Training Center on Employment Policy and Measurement (EPM-RRTC)

Andrew J. Houtenville, Ph.D. David Wittenburg, Ph.D.





## **EPM-RRTC Team**













Mathematica Policy Research, Inc.





### Motivation for the Center

- <u>Disparities</u>: Despite advances in programs, policies, and technology, there are <u>persistent</u> and <u>far-reaching</u> employment gap between people with and without disabilities!
- Adverse Program Interactions: Disability policy is spread across multiple agencies and jurisdictions. There is a concern that the lack of a coordinated policies may mean the conflict
- Measurement: Current measures and statistics relating to of employment outcomes, accommodations, program participation, and services do not provide sufficient detail.



## Why NIDRR?

- NIDRR is uniquely position:
  - It is the only government research institute that focused on disability issues.
  - It holds to the social model of disability, which recognizes the nonmedical elements of disability and social participation.
  - It does not provide direct services and therefore is not vested in any one program or services approach, which is crucial givens. the possibility of interactions.
  - It is positioned to facilitate multi-agency agreements and research related to multiple programs.



## **Competitive Priorities**

- Impact of Policy: "Increased knowledge of government policies and programs that affect employment outcomes for individuals with disabilities"
- Measurement: "Improved capacity to measure the employment outcomes of individuals with disabilities"
- <u>Knowledge Translation</u>: "Increased *incorporation* of research findings from the RRTC project into practice or policy"



## Overview of Research Activities

- Policy issue briefs and forums
  - Pending fiscal crisis
  - Declining Employment
- Research Findings
  - Secondary data
  - Reviews of demonstrations
- Develop administrative capabilities for on-going research
  - Data use agreements

## Selected Findings



## Programs Influence Behavior

#### Size of Program Overlaps

 65% of working-age people with disabilities participate in a safety-net program,

#### Proposed Alternatives

- DI trust fund projected to exhaust funds in 2016
- Several proposal exists for alternatives to SSDI (e.g., experience rating, private disability)
- Problem: No evidence of their effectives
- Need: Additional testing/experimentation



## Program Participant Subgroups are Important

#### Previous demonstrations:

- Targeted narrow groups
  - Younger and those with psychiatric and cognitive impairments had largest employment impacts

#### Importance of tracking outcomes for subgroups

- SSDI beneficiaries are more likely to hit employment milestones for certain subgroups
  - Younger, seeking employment services, those who were awarded at initial level



## Selected Health and Education Findings

#### Returns to Education

- Similar returns for people with early-onset physical disabilities and people without disabilities
- People with early-onset mental disabilities fare worse



## **Current Trend Findings**

- Great Recession had large effect on people with disabilities
  - Substantial loss of blue-collar and goods-producing jobs.
  - Possible permanent gap in these jobs

- Measures influence outcome
  - New "Supplemental" poverty measure shows smaller gap in poverty relative to previous measure
    - Post tax is very important



## Informing the Public Public

- Interactions with Congressional staffers on key issues
- Newspaper citations
- Testimonies to House Ways and Means Committee.
- Presentations to the Social Security Advisory Board.
- Presentations to state staff
- Journal articles and policy briefs



## Next Steps

- Planned studies
  - Health and education
  - Program interactions (especially using VR, SSA benefit, and earnings data)
  - Continued focus on measurement
- Continued response to policy makers
  - We need your input